Best Available Copy

LAW OFFICES STAAS & HALSEY LLP

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
AUG 0 9 2005

<u>Telephone</u> (202) 434-1500

1201 New York Avenue, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20001 Facsimile (202) 434-1501

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

August 9, 2005

TO:

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTN:

Examiner Anh T. N. Vo

FAX NO .:

571-273-8300

TELEPHONE:

FROM:

Stephen T. Boughner

RE:

AMENDMENT WITH FEE TRANSMITTAL

SERIAL NO.: 10/617,252

OUR DOCKET: 1293.1914

NO. OF PAGES (Including this Cover Sheet) 11

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, and is intended only for the use of the addressee(s). Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited. If there are any problems with this transmission, please contact us immediately.

COMMENTS:

CERTIFICATE OF PACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via fessimile to: Commissioner for Patents. P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STANS & HALSEY M. Rumbrar

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

AUG 0 9 2005

Docket No.: 1293.1914

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Kwang-ryul KIM et al.

Serial No. 10/617,252

Group Art Unit: 2861

Confirmation No. 2436

Filed: July 11, 2003

Examiner: Vo, Anh T. N.

INK-JET PRINTHEAD For:

AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed March 9, 2005, and having a period for response set to expire on June 9, 2005. A petition for a one-month extension of time is concurrently filed herewith, thereby extending the response due date to July 9, 2005.

The following amendments and remarks are respectfully submitted. Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested.

> CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22319-1450

S&H Form: (02/05)

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 0 9 2005

					ocket No.	1293.1914				
REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL					n Number	10/617,252				
				Filing Date		July 11, 2003				
				First Named Inventor		Kwang-ryul KIM et al.		et al.		
				Group Art Unit		2861				
AMOUNT ENCLOSED			450.00	Examiner Name Vo. Anh T. N.						
		FEE (CALCUL	ATION (lees effective 1	2/08/	D4)			
CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest Number Previously Paid For		Number Extra		Rate	Catculations ⇒ \$ 0.00		
OTAL CLAIMS	23		- 26 =		0		X \$ 50.00			
NDEPENDENT	3		- 3=		0		X \$ 200.00) = 	450.00	
Since an Official Action set an <u>original</u> due date of <u>June 9, 2005</u> , peuton is the Symbol in the Symbol in the Symbol in the set of the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month extension); (2 months (\$450)); (3 months (\$1,020)); (4 months (\$1,590)); (5 months (\$2,160));										
If Notice of Appeal is enclosed, add (\$500.00)										
If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$150.00)										
Information Disclosure Statement (Rule 1.17(p)) (\$180,00)									450.00	
The Late - No. Colculations =										
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)									450.00	
COTAL FEES DI	JE =	_								
1) it entry (1) is less than 2) if ontry (2) is less than	60 channa 60	(3) 13 TU . trv (2) 80 T2 T.			l· 08.	/11/20	005 CNGUYEN2	00000	017 193935 1061725	
2) If on my (2) is leas that 4) If on my (4) is leas that	entry (5), entry	(6) is "0".			•				1	
5) If entry (5) is tess than	3, charge ent	y (5) to "3".				FC:1	<u> 252 4</u>	50.00	<u> </u>	
			ME	THOD OF	PAYMENT					
Check er	iclosed a	s payment.								
Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No. below.										
☐ No paym	ent is end	dosed.								
			GEN	ERAL AUT	HORIZATION		in homi	hy auth	porized to credit	
⊠ If the ab	ove-note	"AMOUNT E	NCLOSE	D" is not co	prect, the Com	missi	oner is nere	uy auu	101200 10 014-11	
any overpayment or charge any additional lees incoessary										
Deposit Account No. 19-3935										
		count Name				or cha	me anv add	itional	fees required	
Deposit Account Name STAAS & HALSET LET The Commissioner is also authorized to credit any overpayments or charge any additional fees required The Commissioner is also authorized to credit any overpayments or charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees) or 37 CFR 1.17 (processing fees) during the prosecution of this application, under 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees) desiming basefit hereof pursuant to 35 USC § 120 (e.g.,										
under 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees) or 37 CFR 1.17 (piecessing seed) or 37 CFR 1.17 (piecessing seed) or 37 CFR 1.19 (piecesing seed) or 37 CFR 1.19 (piecesing seed) or 37 CFR 1.19 (piecesing seed) or 3										
including any related application(s) claiming benefit hereof pursuant to 35 030 § 123 (e.g., including any related applications/divisionals/CPAs under 37 CFR continuations/divisionals/CPAs under 37 CFR 1.53(b) and/or continuations/divisionals/CPAs under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) to maintain pendency hereof or of any such related application.										
1 53(d)	to maint	ain pendency_	nereor or	of any such	related applic	ation.				
SUBMITTED	TY: STA	AS & HALSEY	LLP				Reg. No.	45,31	7	
Typed Name	Stephe	n J. Boughne	\				1109.1101			
		X	X /		Date August 9, 2005		st 9, 2005			
Signature	<u> </u>	-///	'/	// -/			@200	5 Staa	s & Halsey LLP	
		1	- (/ (PEO	
		1		CERTI	EICATE OF FACS	SIMILE	TRANSMISS	SION	OIDEIL	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on										
				Bv:€3	ઌૢૢૢૢૢૢૢઌૢૢૢઌ ઌ	ا يا	* 5002 8000	30	~	

IN THE CLAIMS:

The text of all pending claims, (including withdrawn claims) is set forth below. Cancelled and not entered claims are indicated with claim number and status only. The claims as listed below show added text with <u>underlining</u> and deleted text with <u>strikethrough</u>. The status of each claim is indicated with one of (original), (currently amended), (cancelled), (withdrawn), (new), (previously presented), or (not entered).

Please CANCEL claims 3-5, and 9, and AMEND claims 1, 10, 12-14 and 16-19, in accordance with the following:

- 1. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) An ink-jet printhead comprising:
- a substrate which includes an ink chamber where ink is stored, nozzles through which ink in the ink chamber is ejected, and a plurality of pads which apply an electrical signal to the substrate to generate droplets in the ink chamber;
- a flexible printed circuit (FPC) cable which includes a conductor corresponding to each of the pads, each conductor having bonding portions at front ends thereof; and

connection members which electrically connect the pads to the bonding portions,

wherein a connection member is bonded to a corresponding pad of the substrate and/or a corresponding bonding portion of the FPC by hot pressure welding.

 (ORIGINAL) The printhead of claim 1, wherein the FPC includes a protection layer, protecting the conductor, having an opening through which the bonding portions are exposed is provided in the protection layer.

3-5. (CANCELED)

- 6. (ORIGINAL) The printhead of claim 2, wherein one end of each pad of the substrate and one end of each connection member are bonded to each other by hot pressure welding or soldering.
- 7. (ORIGINAL) The printhead of claim 2, wherein ends of the bonding portions of the FPC and the other end of each connection member are bonded to each other by hot pressure welding or soldering.
 - 8. (ORIGINAL) The printhead of claim 2, wherein each connection member is

202 434 1501

bonded to one end of each pad of the substrate and ends of the bonding portions of the FPC by hot pressure welding.

9. (CANCELED)

- The ink-jet printhead according to claim 149, 10. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) wherein the connection member is bonded to the bonding pad and the bonding portion by hot pressure welding.
- The ink-jet printhead according to claim 10, wherein the hot 11. (ORIGINAL) pressure welding employs a bonding tool and a thermal pressing method.
- The ink-jet printhead according to claim 149, 12. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) wherein the FPC comprises a cable surrounding the substrate.
- The ink-jet printhead according to claim 149, further 13. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) comprising nozzles on the substrate.
 - 14. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) ____An ink-jet printhead, comprising: a substrate including a first conductor having a bonding pad:
- a Flexible Printed Circuit (FPC) having a second conductor having a bonding portion corresponding to the bonding pad;
- a connection member, wherein the connection member electrically connects the bonding pad of the first conductor to the bonding portion of the second conducto and the connection member is bonded to the bonding pad by hot pressure welding; and

The ink-jet-printhead according to claim 9, further comprising-upper and lower protection layers protecting the second conductor.

- The ink-jet printhead according to claim 14, further comprising an 15. (ORIGINAL) opening in the upper protection layer through which the bonding portion is exposed.
- The ink-jet printhead according to claim 149, 16. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) wherein the connection member is substantially ribbon shaped.

- 17. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The ink-jet printhead according to claim <u>149</u>, wherein the connection member is perforated.
- 18. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The ink-jet printhead according to claim <u>14</u>9, further comprising a plurality of connection members.
- 19. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The ink-jet printhead according to claim 128, further comprising an insulating connection ribbon to fix the plurality of connection members in a parallel arrangement.
- 20. (WITHDRAWN) A method of fusing a connection member to a bonding pad of a substrate, comprising:

opening an opening in a protective layer above the bonding pad of the substrate; and hot pressure welding the connection member to the bonding pad of the substrate.

- 21. (WITHDRAWN) The method according to claim 20, further comprising hot pressure welding the connection member to a bonding portion of a Flexible Printed Circuit (FPC).
- 22. (WITHDRAWN) The method according to claim 21, further comprising soldering the connection member to the bonding pad of the substrate and the bonding portion of the FPC.
- 23. (WITHDRAWN) The method according to claim 21, wherein the operation of opening comprises processing by an excimer laser.
- 24. (WITHDRAWN) The method according to claim 23, wherein the processing further comprises varying a pulse of the laser to prevent melting.
- 25. (WITHDRAWN) The method according to claim 23, wherein the processing further comprises using an interruptive method, the interruptive method comprising:

changing a pulse cycle of the laser; and adjusting a time interval of the pulse of the laser.

26. (WITHDRAWN) The method according to claim 20, wherein the hot pressure

welding comprises:

pressing a bonding tool on a welding object; and heating an electrical heating layer in a gap at an end of the bonding tool to approximately 300 – 500 degrees celcius.

REMARKS

INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the foregoing, claims 3-5, and 9 have been canceled, without prejudice or disclaimer, and claims 1, 10, 12-14 and 16-19 have been amended. No new matter has been presented.

Claims 1, 2, 6-8, and 10-26 are pending, with claims 1, 2, 6-8, and 10-19 being under consideration.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC 102

Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Komuro et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,873,622, claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Patil et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,425,655, and claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Akhavain et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,543,880.

In view of the incorporation of claim features from previously dependent claims, rejected under 35 USC \int 103, into independent claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that these rejections are now moot.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC 103

Claims 2-19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over <u>Patil et al.</u>, in view of <u>Asano</u>, U.S. Patent No. 6,396,665. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

By way of review and as an example, Independent claim 1 sets forth:

"[a]n ink-jet printhead comprising:

a substrate which includes an ink chamber where ink is stored, nozzles through which ink in the ink chamber is ejected, and a plurality of pads which apply an electrical signal to the substrate to generate droplets in the ink chamber;

a flexible printed circuit (FPC) cable which includes a conductor corresponding to each of the pads, each conductor having bonding portions at front ends thereof; and

connection members which electrically connect the pads to the bonding portions.

wherein a connection member is bonded to a corresponding pad of the substrate and/or a corresponding bonding portion of the FPC by hot pressure welding.*

The Office Action has indicated that Patil et al. fails to disclose "the FPC includes a

protection layer, protecting the conductor, having an opening through which the bonding portions are exposed is provided in the protection layer and one end of each pad, one end of each connection member and one end of the bonding portions are bonded to each other by hot pressure welding or soldering."

The Office Action further indicates that it would have been obvious to modify <u>Patil et al.</u> to include the claimed bonding by hot pressure welding "for the purpose of reinforcing the connection strength through the connecting end portions and the FBC."

However, it is respectfully submitted that there is no evidence in the record that there would have been any motivation for the suggested modification of <u>Patil et al.</u> In particular, it is respectfully submitted that the Office Action has merely set forth features <u>Asano</u> and set forth that the addition of the same into <u>Patil et al.</u> would have been obvious so <u>Patil et al.</u> would include such features, without support in the record.

It is respectfully submitted that none of the cited references provide any support or evidence that there would be a need or desire to modify <u>Patil et al.</u> as suggested. In addition, nothing in the record provides any evidence or suggestion that <u>Patil et al.</u> needs or desires the suggested "reinforcing the connection strength through the connecting end portions and the FBC."

Rather, the cited motivation is unsupported by the record and derives solely from the opinion of the Examiner.

MPEP § 2142 states that "it is the duty of the Examiner to explain why the combination of the teachings is proper." The Examiner is required to present actual evidence and make particular findings related to the motivation to combine the teachings of the references. In re Kotzab, 55 USPQ2d 1313, 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2000); In re Dembiczak, 50 USPQ2d 1614, 1617 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Broad conclusory statements regarding the teaching of multiple references, standing alone, are not "evidence." Dembiczak, 50 USPQ2d at 1617.

The factual inquiry must be based on objective evidence of record, and cannot be based on subjective belief and unknown authority. <u>Id.</u> at 1433-34. The Examiner must explain the reasons that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to select the references and to combine them to render the claimed invention obvious. <u>In re Rouffet</u>, 47 USPQ2d 1453, 1459 (Fed. Cir. 1998).

Further, despite the Office Action's attempt to evidence the obviousness modification rationale by relying on an unsupported motivation, it is well settled that "the Board [and

Examiner] cannot simply reach conclusions based on [their] own understanding of experience or on [their] assessment of what would be basic knowledge or common sense. Rather the Board must point to some concrete evidence in the record in support of these findings." In re Zurko, 258 F. 3d 1379, 1386, 59 USPQ2d 1693, 1697 (Fed. Cir. 2001). See also In re Lee, 277 F. 3d 1338, 1344-45, 61 USPQ2d 1430, 1434-35 (Fed. Cir. 2002), in which the court required evidence for the determination of unpatentability by clarifying that the principles of "common knowledge" and "common sense" may only be applied to the analysis of evidence, rather than be a substitute for evidence.

Thus, accordingly, a prima facie obviousness rejection requires <u>evidenced</u> motivation from something in the record that would lead one skilled in the art to combine the relevant teachings. The mere fact that the prior art may be modified in a particular manner does <u>not</u> make the modification obvious unless the prior art suggested the desirability of that modification.

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that there would not have been motivation to modify <u>Patil et al.</u> as suggested.

Rather, it is respectfully submitted that the present invention has a particular orientation of the connector, and particularly explains how the connector can connect to each of the pad or bonding portions. In particular, the notch implemented by the present invention created by the claimed opening permits the use of the claimed hot pressure welding.

Conversely, none of the cited references, including <u>Patil et al.</u>, have an orientation susceptible for such the claimed "wherein a connection member is bonded to a corresponding pad of the substrate and/or a corresponding bonding portion of the FPC by hot pressure welding"

Similarly, the Office Action has failed to support the conclusion that <u>Patil et al.</u> is even modifiable for the Office Action's proffered modification.

Thus, it is respectfully submitted that it would not have been obvious to modify <u>Patil et al.</u> to disclose the presently claimed invention.

Therefore, for at least the above, it is respectfully requested that the outstanding rejections be withdrawn and the presently claimed invention be allowed.

CONCLUSION

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: August 9, 2005

Stephen T. Boughner

Registration No. 45,317

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700

Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 434-1500 Facsimile: (202) 434-1501

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STASSHATSEY BY BUT

Date AUSUS+ 7, 2605

State Service of Fr